## Cattaraugus Region Community Foundation

## Proposal assessment rubric

| Criteria | 1- Low | 2- Medium | 3- High |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Organization strength | Has not demonstrated <br> financial stability, <br> although it may show <br> promise. Board/staff <br> not fully developed. | Has demonstrated <br> financial stability. <br> Board/staff <br> representatives work <br> well in the <br> community. | Financially stable and <br> reaching communities <br> it intends to serve. <br> Leadership is engaged <br> and passionate. <br> Committed to ongoing <br> success of project <br> beyond grant. |
| Community need or <br> opportunity | Project is redundant <br> of work in the field <br> and real need is not <br> demonstrated in the <br> proposal. | Proposal demonstrates <br> a modest, but not <br> critical need in the <br> community. | Proposal demonstrates <br> a significant and <br> critical need and is <br> local and timely. <br> Organization is in a <br> key strategic position <br> to meet need. |
| Feasibility and impact | Project is unlikely to <br> fulfill its stated <br> outcomes. Barriers to <br> success are high and <br> organization does not <br> have a clear plan to <br> overcome those <br> barriers. | Project's anticipated <br> outcomes seem <br> appropriate and <br> achievable. May need <br> some modifications. | Proposal presents a <br> solid strategy for <br> achieving anticipated <br> outcomes. Staff and <br> funding are in place to <br> successfully complete <br> the project. |
| Project budget | Potential issues exist <br> in timeline and <br> budget. Budget may <br> not be reasonable. | Timeline and budget <br> make sense. Budget is <br> reasonable. | Proposal includes well <br> thought-out timeline <br> and cost-effective |
| budget. Budget is |  |  |  |
| reasonable and makes |  |  |  |
| good strategic sense. |  |  |  |$|$

